

# Doubly Bound

The Costs of Issuing Municipal Bonds

BY MARC JOFFE

This brief explores constraints of the structures of municipal bonds, identifying multiple services that may be used as a municipality issues bonds and services that may or may not be necessary. It also finds uneven costs associated with municipal bond issuances.

A report published by the Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society at UC Berkeley and the ReFund America Project



REFUND AMERICA PROJECT

#### THIS REPORT IS PUBLISHED BY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERS



The Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society at UC Berkeley brings together researchers, community stakeholders, policymakers, and strategic communicators to identify and challenge the barriers to an inclusive, just, and sustainable society and create transformative change. The Haas Institute advances research and policy related to marginalized people while essentially touching all who benefit from a truly diverse, fair, and inclusive society.

460 Stephens Hall Berkeley, CA 94720-2330 510-642-3011 haasinstitute.berkeley.edu

### REFUND AMERICA PROJECT

The ReFund America Project (RAP) tackles the structural problems in the municipal finance system that cost state and local governments across the United States billions of dollars each year at the expense of public services. We research the role of financial deals in contributing to public budget distress and work with policy experts, community leaders, and public officials to develop, advocate for, and implement solutions to help save taxpayer dollars.

570 Lexington Avenue, 5th Floor New York, NY 10022 312-860-9917 refundproject.org

#### **AUTHOR**

Marc Joffe is principal consultant at Public Sector Credit Solutions in northern California. Until 2011, Joffe was a Senior Director at Moody's Analytics, where he worked for nine years. He researched and co-authored Kroll Bond Rating Agency's 2011 US Municipal Bond Default Study, and co-authored a 2013 study for the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission on estimating government bond default probabilities.

#### **SPECIAL THANKS**

The authors would like to thank Karthick Palaniappan who directed the team of research assistants contributing data for this study, and Alexandros Taliadoros of the Kamanovitz Initiative for Labor and the Working Poor at Georgetown University, who gathered preliminary data.

#### **CHARTS & INFOGRAPHICS**

Wendy Ake Samir Gambhir

#### **DESIGN & LAYOUT**

Ebonye Gussine Wilkins Rachelle Galloway-Popotas

#### **EDITING**

Ebonye Gussine Wikins

#### Report Citation

Joffe, Marc. "Doubly Bound: The Cost of Issuing Bonds." Berkeley, CA: Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society, University of California, Berkeley, 2015.

To maximize the use of our data and in hope that others will supplement it, we have posted it in a Google sheet accessible from: haasinstitute.berkeley.edu/justpublicfinance.

# Doubly Bound

The Costs of Issuing Bonds

BY MARC JOFFE

## table of contents

1	Introduction	.5
2.	Attending to Issuance Cost Data  Attending to Issuance Cost Data: This study's data  Attending to Issuance Cost Data: Other data resources  Official Statements  Bloomberg  Internal Revenue Service.  California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission	. 6 . 7 . 7 . 7
3.	Types of Issuance Costs  Underwriter's Discount  Financial or Municipal Advisor (or Consultant) Fees and Expenses  Bond Counsel Fees and Expenses  Disclosure Counsel Fees and Expenses  Underwriter's Counsel Fees and Expenses  Rating Agency Fees  Bond Insurance Premiums  Verification Agent  Trustee, Cost of Issuance Agent, Paying Agent and/or Escrow Agent Fee  Printing  CUSIP Fees  Contingency  All Other Costs	. 9 . 9 . 9 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 10
4.	Findings	. 13
5.	Public Policy Options and Further Inquiry  Greater Cost Transparency  Open Security Identifiers  Higher, Model-Driven Municipal Credit Ratings  Federal and/or Federal Reserve Involvement	. 16 . 17 . 17
6.	Conclusion	. 19
7.	Appendix	.20
R	Fndnotes	21

### introduction

**STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS** incur a variety of costs when they borrow money by selling bonds. Among these costs, the most well-known is the amount in interest that must be repaid along with the principal. However, interest is not the only cost incurred by state and local governments seeking to borrow in the municipal bond market.

There are a variety of expenses associated with a bond issuance when a government sells bonds. The amount of funds borrowed is not equal to that received by the government due to the costs of issuance. Those costs are deducted from the bond proceeds before the bond proceeds reach the state or local level.

Based upon a study of the cost of issuance for 812 bond issuances since 2012, we found that costs of issuing bonds average 1.02 percent of the bond's principal amount, but this percentage varied widely. There are examples of significant variance from this average. For example, a bond issuance for \$2.1 million dollars for Dehesa School District incurred \$200,138 in fees, over 9 percent of the principal amount. Had this issuance followed the 1.02 percent average, its issuance fees would have been nearer \$21,000. In our findings, six California school districts incurred costs in excess of 8.5 percent.

Among the many services that may be obtained by an issuer of bonds, the four services with the largest contributions to total issuance costs were from underwriting, legal consult, financial advising, and rating agency services.

#### This study provides:

- a description of the types of issuance costs local governments incur;
- an estimate of the size of issuance costs;
- implications for further inquiry related to this study; and,
- ideals for reducing issuance costs.

This report begins with a review of other data collected as measures of issuance costs. Our interest in the topic is not unique; however the data we have made available for the report represents a novel approach to collecting issuance cost data. Secondly, we discuss overall patterns and differences among the diversity of issuers included in the study. We then discuss prominent examples of outliers, where issuance fees were particularly high. This includes the high issuance costs of a California public school district to which we compare the issuance costs of a comparable issuance. Finally, we close with a synopsis of further areas of inquiry and a brief list of implications for policy and practice arising from the study.