



Opportunity, Race, and Low Income Housing Tax Credit Projects

An Analysis of LIHTC Developments
in the San Francisco Bay Area

by Phuong Tseng, Heather Bromfield, Samir Gambhir, and Stephen Menendian



PUBLISHED BY:

The Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society at UC Berkeley brings together researchers, community stakeholders, policymakers, and communicators to identify and challenge the barriers to an inclusive, just, and sustainable society and create transformative change. The Haas Institute advances research and policy related to marginalized people while essentially touching all who benefit from a truly diverse, fair, and inclusive society.



@haasinstitute

AUTHORS

Phuong Tseng is the GIS/Demographic Analyst at the Haas Institute working to examine social injustices by integrating interdisciplinary research, technology, data and information with advocacy work.

Heather Bromfield is a Research Associate for the Haas Institute working with the Equity Metrics and California Community Partnerships divisions. She draws on training in GIS and mixed methods research to understand housing affordability, neighborhood opportunity, and community development with racial and social justice lenses.

Samir Gambhir is a Geographic Information Systems (GIS) researcher and manager of the Equity Metrics program at the Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society. He has more than nine years of experience in the field of mapping, spatial analysis and web-GIS. He has research experience in the areas of social justice, racial equity, planning, health and business, with a focus on human geography.

Stephen Menendian is the Assistant Director and Director of Research at the Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society. An author of many law review articles, Stephen co-authored the Institute's Supreme Court amicus briefs in *Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs v. the Inclusive Communities Project*, arguing that disparate impact standard remains essential to address the ongoing legacy of historical housing segregation, and in *Fisher v. Texas*, asking the Court to uphold the University of Texas' race conscious admissions policy.

MAPS, DATA VISUALIZATION, LAYOUT

Samir Gambhir, Phuong Tseng, Heather Bromfield

COPYEDITING

Heather Bromfield, Ebonye Gussine Wilkins, Phuong Tseng

SUGGESTED CITATION

Tseng, Phuong; Bromfield, Heather; Gambhir, Samir; & Menendian, Stephen (2017). Opportunity, Race, and Low Income Housing Tax Credit Projects. Berkeley, CA: The Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society, University of California, Berkeley.

CONTACT

460 Stephens Hall
Berkeley, CA 94720-2330
510-642-3326
haasinstitute.berkeley.edu

Questions, suggestions, feedback, and any other comments about this report should be sent to smenendian@berkeley.edu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	5
Background.....	7
I. Opportunity Analysis	8
Analysis of LIHTC Developments by Opportunity.....	9
Analysis of Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and New Construction by Opportunity.....	12
Analysis of Four Percent and Nine Percent Tax Credits Timeline.....	12
II. Demographic Analysis	13
Analysis of Large Family Projects by Opportunity	14
Analysis of LIHTC Developments and Neighborhood Demographics.....	15
Limitations	17
Conclusion	18
Key Findings	19
Appendix	20

KEY FINDINGS

- Housing projects financed by the LIHTC in the Bay Area were relatively well distributed across boundaries of opportunity, although there was variability depending on program type, project year, and project type (See Charts 1, 2b, and 3a).
- Nine Percent Tax Credit projects outperformed the Four Percent Tax Credit in financing projects in higher opportunity neighborhoods. For example, Nine Percent Tax Credit projects were more likely to be sited in Very High opportunity neighborhoods than Four Percent Tax Credit projects (25.7% versus 17.5%) (See Table 1).
- More than 45% of Large Family projects were sited in Low and Very Low opportunity areas. In particular, Large Family New Construction projects and units were disproportionately placed in low-opportunity areas, where resources for families with children are inadequate to support healthy development and upward mobility (See Table 3 and Appendix Table 8).
- A large plurality of Nine Percent Tax Credit Acquisition and Rehabilitation projects were sited in Very High opportunity neighborhoods, and these projects robustly outperformed both Nine Percent New Construction projects and Four Percent Tax Credit projects of all types (See Chart 2b).
- While more Nine Percent Acquisition and Rehabilitation projects were sited in Very High opportunity neighborhoods than other project types, changes are needed to reduce the percentage of Nine Percent and Four Percent projects in both the New Construction and Acquisition and Rehabilitation categories that are sited in Low and Very Low opportunity areas (See Table 2).
- More than 61% of LIHTC developments and awards were dispersed in areas where over 60% of the population were people of color (See Table 4).
- In neighborhoods with populations that were majority-people of color, there were three times the amount of LIHTC projects than majority-white neighborhoods. Additionally, the ratio of Nine Percent Tax Credit units in majority-people of color neighborhoods to majority-white neighborhoods was 3.78:1. These findings demonstrate that there is much to be desired in terms of promoting LIHTC projects in racially integrated areas (See Tables 4 and 5).

INTRODUCTION

The Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program is the largest federal housing program in the United States, redirecting hundreds of millions of dollars per year in funds towards the creation and preservation of low-income rental housing. Indirectly subsidized by federal coffers, states enjoy enormous discretion in administering the program, with each state establishing its own criteria for awarding the tax credit.

The Haas Institute for a Fair and Inclusive Society at UC Berkeley analyzed LIHTC data from the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) on housing projects financed by the tax credit within the San Francisco Bay Area.¹ The intention was to understand the temporal and spatial patterns of LIHTC developments from 1987-2014, including projects financed with both federal Four Percent (4%) and Nine Percent (9%) Tax Credits.² To assess the state's efficacy in promoting housing opportunities for low-income Californians in well-resourced, racially integrated neighborhoods, this report analyzes project categories by neighborhood opportunity and demographic composition:

Opportunity Analysis

- LIHTC projects
- LIHTC units
- Total Awards³
- Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and New Construction⁴

Demographic Analysis

- Large Family⁵ projects
- Race-based analysis

We utilized UC Davis' Center for Regional Change Regional Opportunity Index (ROI) methodology and their place-based data to recalculate the opportunity index for the Bay Area at the census tract level, as displayed in Map 1 in the Appendix.⁶ Additional data were gathered from the U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS).

This comprehensive report shows that LIHTC developments in the Bay Area are relatively well spread across boundaries of opportunity. We also find that the Nine Percent Tax Credit outperforms the Four Percent in financing projects in higher opportunity neighborhoods. Furthermore, based on a 2015 report published by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development and Research, California's LIHTC funding allocation

1 The IRS administers the LIHTC program to states, while the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee determines how the two federal tax credits are allocated within California. Refer to page 2 of the Description of California Tax Credit Allocation Committee Programs via <http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/program.pdf>.

2 The Four Percent and Nine Percent Tax Credits indicate that housing projects are eligible for different levels of tax credit financing. For the Four Percent Credit, the dollar amount of the tax credits is 30% of the qualified costs of a housing project, while for the Nine Percent Tax Credit, the tax credit value is 70% of the qualified costs. See Novogradac, Michael J. 2002. *Novogradac Renewable Energy Tax Credit Handbook-2010 Edition*. Novogradac & Company LLP, June 1.

3 Federal and state contributions were aggregated by multiplying federal awards by 10 years of tax credits and adding the one-time state award to obtain the sum of awards categorized as "Total Awards."

4 Acquisition/Rehabilitation and New Construction are the two different construction classifications that help determine the eligibility basis and building calculation. Novogradac, Michael J. 2002. *Novogradac Renewable Energy Tax Credit Handbook-2010 Edition*. Novogradac & Company LLP, June 1.

5 Large Family is defined in the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee Code of Regulation Section 10325(g)(1)(A). Prior to 2016, Large Family projects were defined by having at least 25% of units with apartments that have three or more bedrooms. <http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/ctcac/programreg/2015/20150121/regulations.pdf>.

6 The Regional Opportunity Index has two indices: People-based and Place-based. Our analysis used the place-based index because we are interested in understanding and assessing the spatial patterns of LIHTC developments at the census tract level. The 2014 ROI data are accessible via <http://interact.regionalchange.ucdavis.edu/roi/data.html>. The Haas Institute served on the peer review committee and assisted the Center for Regional Change in developing the ROI methodology.